

REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to specify that the sheet product is placed into a metallic mold before the uniaxially pressing takes place. The basis for this change can be found on page 6, lines 17-18 of the specification.

The rejection of claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Hirao in view of Adachi and Kawai is respectfully traversed. The combination of references do not teach or suggest the present invention.

Conventionally, a ceramic laminate is produced by laminating ceramic green sheets, press bonding the sheets to one another and firing the resulting stack. In such a method, the pressing is such that the area of the respective grain sheets in the direction of perpendicular to the pressing axis are prevented from increasing and the crystal grains of the ceramic are not oriented. Alternative methods which produce oriented ceramics are known but those methods are generally expensive and unsuited for mass production. The present Applicants discovered that an oriented product could be produced by the process which is that set forth in the claims of the present application.

The Hirao patent discloses a method in which a silicon nitride raw material powder and beta-silicon nitride single crystals in raw-like form are combined, formed into a slurry which is converted into sheets, stacked under pressure and then sintered. The purpose of the pressing in this reference is to densify the sheets. There is no teaching or suggestion that the orientation degree can be changed, as in the present invention.

The Office Action acknowledges that Hirao does not recite the length of the product in the direction parallel to the pressing axis is decreased and that the area of a plane perpendicular to the pressing axis is of the product is increased compared to that

before the pressing. In order to overcome these deficiencies, reference is made to the secondary references. It is respectfully submitted that the reliance on these additional items is insufficient to render the instant claims unpatentable.

In addition, claims 4, 5, 6 and 8 recite that the ceramic crystal grains having a shape-anisotropy are flat with an aspect ratio of about 4 to 10. While the Hirao patent does make reference to an aspect ratio being greater than 2 in column 4, that ratio relates to the seed crystals which are rod shaped as opposed to being flat. The secondary references do not cure this deficiency. Indeed, neither Adachi or Kawai teach or suggest a ceramic material having a shape anisotropy.

The Adachi reference relates to a method of fabricating a ceramic multi-layer substrate in which a plurality of grain sheets are laminated, sandwiched between restricting green layers, pressed and fired. In an example, there was a thickness reduction. However, like the primary reference, Adachi does not relate to increasing the orientation degree, as obtained in the present invention. Further, this reference does not disclose that the area in the plane perpendicular to the pressing axis of the green sheet is increased compared to that before pressing.

Kawai relates to the manufacture of a gas discharge type display panel in which a glass paste and glass green sheets are manipulated. In order to avoid the problems encountered when forming barrier ribs by a stamping process (see column 1), the patent resorts to a rolling process in which as shown in the drawings, the glass paste is placed on a flat surface. As a roller moves across the surface of the paste, the portion of the paste in front of the roller is raised by means of the peripheral surface of the roller to form blanks. As a consequence of this procedure, an oriented formed product as in the present invention is not realized. The barrier rib blanks may be oriented in the direction that the sheet moves but they will not be oriented sufficiently

in the perpendicular direction to the movement. Further, the barrier rib blanks realized do not have a reduced thickness but, quite to the contrary, have an increased thickness as can be seen in the drawings.

The process of the present invention compared to the prior art is shown in Figures 1 and 2 of this application. In Figure 1, the stacked laminate is placed in the mold and the relative size of the mold cavity and the stack are such that the area perpendicular to the pressing direction can be increased in the TGG method of Figure 2, the stack and size of the cavity are such that no increase in area is possible. Tables 3 and 4 and the graph of Figure 3 of the application show that the orientation degree of the samples in accordance with the invention was higher than those of the samples obtained by the TGG method. As apparent from Table 3, the electromechanical coupling coefficient (%) at thickness shear mode vibration increases with an increase in the orientation degree. Nothing in the prior art teaches or suggests that the results achieved by the present invention were possible and the fact that the claimed method achieved such results is surprising and unexpected.

None of the references, whether considered alone or in combination, suggest that pressing a sheet product formed from a ceramic slurry containing a powder of ceramic crystal grains having a shape anisotropy mixed with a ceramic raw material powder or a calcined ceramic raw material powder, or both, such that the length of the product in the direction parallel to the pressing axis is decreased and the area of the claim perpendicular to the pressing axis of the product is increased compared to those dimensions before the pressing will cause an oriented form product to be produced. The fact that such a result is achieved, as shown in the present invention, is surprising and unexpected and therefore unobvious.

In light of the foregoing considerations, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition to be allowed and the early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

Dated: September 26, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By Edward A. Meilman

Edward A. Meilman

Registration No.: 24,735

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas

41st Floor

New York, New York 10036-2714

(212) 835-1400

Attorney for Applicant